(72)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri H.L. Dattu, C.J.

Writ Petition No.812 of 2001

Petitioner Surendra Kumar Rathore, aged about 36 years, S/o Dhuni Ram Rathore, resident of Range Office Pali, District Korba, at present working as Wireless Operator in the office of North Forest Division Office, Katghora South (Chhattisgarh)

versus

Respondents

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of Forest, Mantralay, D.K.Buildings, Raipur
2. Conservator of Forest, Forest Division, Bilaspur
3. Divisional Forest Officer, Forest Division, Korba
4. Range Officer, Office of Sub Division Forest Office, Pali, District Korba

Present : Shri Vishnu Koshta, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal, Government Advocate for the State.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on 22nd March, 2007)

The petitioner is working as an educated labourer in the office of the fourth respondent. The petitioner claims that he was appointed earlier to 1988, therefore, he had gone before the respondents requesting them to regularize his services, as has been done in the case of others.

(2) After receiving the representation filed by the petitioner, the respondents had issued two show cause notices dated 4-12-2000 and 19-03-2001 to the petitioner directing him to produce necessary documents in support of his case and also to support his assertion that he was employed earlier to 1988.

(3) Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that after receipt of the show cause notices, the petitioner had replied the same and had

(73)

also produced the necessary documents in support of his case that he was employed prior to 1988.

(4) In view of the above, in my opinion, now a direction requires to be issued to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner in accordance with law after taking into consideration the replies filed by the petitioner to the show cause notices dated 4-12-2000 and 19-03-2001 issued to him, as expeditiously as possible.

(5) Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. The second respondent is directed to consider the case of the petitioner after taking into consideration the replies filed by the petitioner to the show cause notices dated 4-12-2000 and 19-03-2001 issued to him, in accordance with law and pass appropriate orders as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
Chief Justice